

## Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 31, 1998

Ms. Susan G. Morrison 805 West Tenth Street, Suite 101 Austin, Texas 78701-2029

OR98-0851

Dear Ms. Morrison:

On behalf of the Charlotte Independent School District (the "school district"), you ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Open Records Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 114393.

The school district received a request for five items of information. You state that the school district has released to the requester the requested information with the exception of one item, a "record of non-professional employees by name, title, ethnicity and salary covering the year 1995 through [the] present." You assert that this record is excepted from required public disclosure by sections 552.102 and 552.103 of the Government Code.

Section 552.102(a) of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." The test to be applied to information claimed to be protected under section 552.102 is the same test formulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation of the South v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976), cert. denied, 430 U.S. 931 (1977) for information claimed to be protected under the doctrine of common-law privacy as incorporated by section 552.101. See Hubert v. Harte-Hanks Texas Newspapers, 652 S.W.2d 546 (Tex. App.--Austin 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.). Section 552.101, which excepts from disclosure information that is confidential by law, incorporates the common-law right to privacy. Information may be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with the common-law right to privacy if the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts about a person's private affairs such that its release would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person and if the information is of no legitimate concern to the public. See Industrial Found. of the South v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd., 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976), cert. denied, 430 U.S. 931 (1977).

We have reviewed the record at issue. We conclude that section 552.102 is inapplicable.

## Section 552.103(a) applies to information

- (1) relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature or settlement negotiations, to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party; and
- (2) that the attorney general or the attorney of the political subdivision has determined should be withheld from public inspection.

To secure the protection of section 552.103(a), a governmental body must demonstrate that requested information "relates" to a pending or reasonably anticipated judicial or quasi-judicial proceeding. Open Records Decision No. 588 (1991). You assert that section 552.103 applies to the requested information because the school district has been threatened with litigation.

Section 552.103 requires concrete evidence that the claim that litigation may ensue is more than mere conjecture. Open Records Decision No. 518 (1989). A mere threat to sue is not sufficient to establish that litigation is reasonably anticipated. See Open Records Decision No. 331 (1982). There must be some objective indication that the potential party intends to follow through with the threat. See Open Records Decision No. 452 (1986) at 5. Consequently, we conclude that the school district has not established that the school district reasonably anticipates litigation and that the school district may not withhold the information from the requestor based on section 552.103. Thus, the school district must release the requested information to the requestor.

We are resolving this matter with this informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and may not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,

Kay Hastings

Assistant Attorney General

Open Records Division

Say Vastings

KHH/rho

Ref.: ID# 114393

Enclosure: Submitted document

cc: Ms. Rebecca C. Trevino

Attorney at Law P.O. Box 285

Pleasanton, Texas 78064

(w/o enclosure)